

Hosting Performance Contest – July 2019 Roundup (15 Hosts Tested: Better Uptime, Worse Speed)



Here's the 42nd Monthly [Hosting Performance Contest](#) Roundup for July 2019! I've been testing 15 hosts in a non-stop manner the whole month and I'll show you the results below. In general, this contest's results can be described as "More hosts with 100% uptime and more hosts with worse Satisfactory Apdex (speed stability)".

By the way, you can find the latest roundups [here](#) and the performance tables and charts [here](#).

All monitored hosts are presented in the pricing categories according to the most affordable plan they have. Also, I bought the most affordable plans available at each hosting company to test hosting performance.

Let's see how the hosts performed in July 2019!

Contents

- [Common Information](#)
- [July 2019 Hosting Performance Contest – Results](#)
 - [Here's a table view to compare the Hosting Performance Contest results in July 2019:](#)
 - [Here's a table with hosting prices:](#)
 - [Here's a table with places within pricing categories:](#)
 - [And here are the charts with the Hosting Performance Contest results in July 2019:](#)
- [July 2019 Hosting Performance Contest Results – Notes](#)
- [Conclusion](#)

Common Information

As you may already know, I've been monitoring the performance of some of the best hosting providers I know as well as some other well-known hosts.

In short, since 2013 I've been picking out great hosts judging by real users reviews, professional hosting community opinion, long honorable business experience and other factors which make a great hosting.

And I buy anonymously hosting accounts with different hosts in order to *closely monitor their performance* (basically, **uptime** checked *every minute* and **full page load time** checked *every 20 minutes*) using a professional monitoring service *monitis.com*. I buy **the most basic and the cheapest plan** of each hosting. You can read about my **monitoring methodology** [here](#).

And I'm happy to share these statistics with you so that you could see how these hosts perform and who is **the best from the best!**

You can find some **real-time performance charts** on my [recommended hosts page](#) for the hosting which I've been recommending and monitoring.

Also, you can see **real-time monitoring charts** for *all* hosts that I am monitoring on [this page](#). In addition, the page contains monthly **historical data** on hosting performance (*speed, uptime, satisfactory apdex*) – very interesting and useful.

Besides, you may read more information about this **Hosting Performance Contest** on [this page](#). I will be adding monthly and yearly Contest results on the page too.

And other monthly Hosting Performance Contest roundups are available from [here](#).

By the way, here's a disclosure: There are some affiliate links on this page. In other words, I get paid if you click on the links and make a purchase. All such links open in new window/tab; no software/program will be installed to your computer. (This is a standard notice required by hosting companies.)

Please note that although all hosts mentioned in this post are well-established and considered to be very good, I highly recommend not all of them. My recommended hosts are [here](#).

July 2019 Hosting Contest Video Overview (some fun included)

I've created a [video overview](#) with the results of this month's contest. To add some fun :) Enjoy!



By the way, [subscribe to my YouTube channel](#) if you have not already! :)

July 2019 Hosting Performance Contest – Results

Here are the fifteen hosts that you can compare this month. I've broken down them in three groups: very affordable (below \$5/mo), middle class (upto \$8/mo) and more expensive (\$8+/mo).

- [SiteGround](#) (I recommend it, here's my [review](#))
- [StableHost](#) (I recommend it, here's my [review](#))
- [GeekStorage](#) (I recommend it, here's my [review](#))
- [A2Hosting](#) (I recommend it, here's my [review](#))
- [VeeroTech](#) (I recommend it, here's my [review](#))
- [MDDHosting](#) (I recommend it, here's my [review](#))
- [HawkHost](#) (I recommend it, here's my [review](#))
- [Squidix](#) (I recommend it, here's my [review](#))
- [InMotionHosting](#)
- [HostWinds](#)
- [LunarPages](#)
- [MochaHost](#)
- [Eleven2](#)
- [GreenGeeks](#)
- [GlowHost](#)

You'll see a breakdown of hosting performance by prices in the sections below. Let's start from an overview of the hosting performance in the order of how the

hosts performed in *general regardless* of how affordable or expensive the hosts are.



The **winner of this month's contest** is [MDDHosting!](#)

In the Top-3 also go [VeeroTech](#) and [SiteGround](#).

What's remarkable about the winners this month is that all hosts are from different pricing categories.

[MDDHosting](#) took the 1st place. Its *full page load time* (1.11) seconds was amazingly fast. And its *uptime* (99.99%) was almost perfect and very much above the highest standards set for the greatest hosts (99.9%). My site was not available this month for just 4.5 minutes. As regards *Satisfactory Apdex*, it was simply perfect 100% which of course is above the benchmark level (99%) determined for the greatest hosts. The host surpassed the benchmark tests and thanks to the best speed it got the gold this month!

The silver medalist is [VeeroTech](#).

[VeeroTech](#) performed wonderfully well and satisfied both benchmarks set for great hosts. Its average *speed* was 1.12 seconds which is astonishingly fast. It was slower than the leader by just 0.01 seconds. And its *uptime* (99.99%) was almost perfect and much above the benchmark level (99.9%) set for the greatest hosts. My site hosted with this hosting was available all the time this month except 4.5 minutes. The host's *Satisfactory Apdex* (100%) was simply perfect and of course much above the highest standards (99%). And since this hosting was the second fastest host among the hosts which exceeded all the benchmarks determined for the greatest hosts [VeeroTech](#) wins the silver medal. Although the difference with the winner was barely distinguishable.

The bronze medal goes to [SiteGround](#).

Average full page load time of [SiteGround](#) was 1.15 seconds (it's super fast). It was slower than the leader by just 0.04 seconds. Its *Uptime* was simply perfect 100%. My site hosted with this host was available all the time during the whole month. And the host's *Satisfactory Apdex* was also very high 99.9% (i.e. 99.9% of the time during this month the full page load time was less than 2.5 seconds). All these parameters are much above the benchmarks specified for the greatest hosting performance. And since this hosting was the third fastest host among the hosts which exceeded all the benchmarks determined for the greatest hosts [SiteGround](#) wins the bronze medal.

In this month [GreenGeeks](#) took the 4th place in the Contest. This month my site was on average as fast as 1.55 seconds that is great. And its *uptime* was simply perfect 100% which is much above the highest standards set for the best hosts (99.9%). My site hosted with this host was available all the time during this month. And its *Satisfactory Apdex* was 99.15% (i.e. 99.15% of the time the full page load time of my website hosted with this host was less than 2.5 seconds). It was very good and above the highest standards set for the best hosts (99%). Great!

[LunarPages](#) has got the 5th place this month. It performed as fast as 1.55 seconds which is pretty fast. Its *uptime* (99.99%) was almost perfect and very much above the highest standards level set for the greatest hosts (99.9%). My site hosted with this host was available all the time during this month except 4.5 minutes. And the *Satisfactory Apdex* was well above the highest standards as well – 99.81% (99.81% of the time this month the speed was faster than 2.5 seconds). It's above the highest standards (99%) too. Great!

[HostWinds](#) goes to the 6th place. Its 1.63 seconds *full page load time* was very good. Its *uptime* (99.99%) was almost perfect and of course much above the

benchmark level which is set for great hosts (99.9%). My site was available all the time during this month except **4.5** minutes. This host had *Satisfactory Apdex* **99.01%** which was above the benchmark set for the greatest hosts (99%). Impressive results this month!

Other hosts could not meet at least one of the benchmarks set for the best hosting. However, the results of some hosts were very close to the benchmarks and pretty good in general.

A2Hosting took the 7th place with **1.24** seconds *speed*, which is brilliant. But it had *uptime* (**99.53%**) which is a bit below the highest standards (99.9%) set for the greatest hosts. My site hosted with this host was not available this month for **3.5** hours. Also, it had a very high *Satisfactory Apdex* – **99.67%**. It means that 99.67% of the time in this month my testing site was faster than 2.5 seconds in this month. This is very well above the highest benchmark (99%) which is set for great hosts. Failed uptime did not let this host get higher in the rankings.

HawkHost took the 8th place. The host performed in this month as fast as **1.26** seconds which is impressively fast. Its *uptime* was simply perfect **100%**. My site hosted with this host was available all the time during the whole month. And the *Satisfactory Apdex* was quite good in general – **98.97%** (99.97% of the time the speed was faster than 2.5 seconds). It's a little bit below the highest standards set for great hosting which is 99%. Failed Apdex did not let the host go higher in the rankings, although it was a great performance!

GeekStorage took the 9th place. Like the previous host, it performed very well, but a little bit failed Satisfactory Apdex brought the host lower in the rankings. In this month the host's speed as as fast as **1.36** seconds which is impressively fast. Its *uptime* was simply perfect **100%**. My site hosted with this host was available all the time during the whole month. And the *Satisfactory Apdex* was quite good in general – **98.78%** (99.78% of the time the speed was faster than 2.5 seconds). It's a little bit below the highest standards set for great hosting which is 99%. Great performance in general!

MochaHost took the 9th place this month.. Its *speed* was pretty good (**1.57** seconds). But its *uptime* (**97.09%**) was below the benchmark (99.9%). My site was not available for **22.65** hours this month. This host's *Satisfactory Apdex* (**96.54%**) was not bad, but below the benchmark level (99%). Because of the seriously failed uptime benchmark test this host did not get higher in the rankings.

In this month **StableHost** took the 11th place in the Contest. It was on average as fast as **1.64** seconds that is really fast. And its *uptime* (**99.08%**) was not very good and below the benchmark value set for the greatest hosts (**99.9%**). My site hosted

with this host was not available for **6.84** hours. And its *Satisfactory Apdex* was generally good – **98.83%** (i.e. 98.83% of all the time full page load time of my website hosted with this host was less than 2.5 seconds). It's a little bit below the benchmark level set for the greatest hosts (**99%**). Not the best month for this host.

Squidix took the 12th place. Its average speed was very good (**1.69** seconds *full page load time*). The *uptime* of Squidix was generally good **99.86%**, but it's a little bit below the benchmark level (99.9%). My site was not available for **1.04** minutes this month. And the host's *Satisfactory Apdex* (**96.49%**) was below the highest standards (**99%**).

InMotionHosting took the 13th place. The host had generally good *speed* (**1.73** seconds on average). And it had *uptime* (**99.98%**) which is superb and much above the highest standards (99.9%). My site hosted with this hosting was not available for just **8.09** minutes this month. But its *Satisfactory Apdex* (**96.17%**) was below the benchmark (99%). 96.17% of the time the site was slower than 2.5 seconds. Not bad performance in general.

Eleven2 got the 14th place. Its average *speed* (**2.21** secs) was generally okay. Its *uptime* was **99.9%**, which is exactly at the benchmark level (99.9%) set for the greatest hosts. My site was not available for **44.6** minutes this month. The host's *Satisfactory Apdex* (**91.83%**) was below the highest standards (99%) though.

GlowHost went to the last 15th place this month. This host could not get higher because of its bad speed. Its *uptime* (**99.92%**) was brilliant, and above the benchmark level (99.9%) which is set to determine the greatest hosts. My site was not available for **35.7** minutes this month. And the host's *speed* was slow (**3.58** seconds). And as expected, its *Satisfactory Apdex* (**0%**) was the lowest possible value; and it was far from reaching the benchmark level (99%). The loading time of my test website was lower than 2.5 seconds during the whole month.

Generally, the competition of the monitored hosts this month was pretty tight as usual, especially among the better performing hosts.

By the way, keep in mind, that for this Contest I pick out the hosts which are considered to be good, great and superb. These hosts are established businesses for many years and some of them are widely recommended in the Internet. So, the idea of this Contest is to determine the best performing hosts from a technical point of view, giving you objective information for making your own decision regarding hosts.

Let's see now the results in tables and charts for more convenience, more information and more insight.

Here's a table view to compare the Hosting Performance Contest results in July 2019:

Host	Place	Load Time	Uptime	Superb Uptime	Apdex-S	Superb Apdex-S
MDDHosting	1 (Winner!)	1.11 sec	99.99%	✓	100.00%	✓
VeeroTech	2 (Silver medal)	1.12 sec	99.99%	✓	100.00%	✓
SiteGround	3 (Bronze medal)	1.15 sec	100.00%	✓	99.90%	✓
GreenGeeks	4	1.55 sec	100.00%	✓	99.15%	✓
LunarPages	5	1.55 sec	99.99%	✓	99.81%	✓
HostWinds	6	1.63 sec	99.99%	✓	99.01%	✓
A2Hosting	7	1.24 sec	99.53%	x	99.67%	✓
HawkHost	8	1.26 sec	100.00%	✓	98.97%	x
GeekStorage	9	1.36 sec	100.00%	✓	98.78%	x
MochaHost	10	1.57 sec	97.09%	x	96.54%	x
StableHost	11	1.64 sec	99.08%	x	98.83%	x
Squidix	12	1.69 sec	99.86%	x	96.49%	x
InMotionHosting	13	1.73 sec	99.98%	✓	96.17%	x
Eleven2	14	2.21 sec	99.90%	✓	91.83%	x
GlowHost	15	3.58 sec	99.92%	✓	0.00%	x

Table column notes:

Place: The place a hosting has won in this Contest (*the less the better*).

Load Time: Average Full Page Load Time (*the less the better*). Checked every 20 minutes.

Uptime: Uptime (*the more the better*). Checked every minute.

Superb Uptime: If Uptime Benchmark (99.9%) passed (*YES is very good*).

Apdex-S: Satisfactory Apdex, i.e. how often a test website on a tested hosting was loading faster than 2.5 sec (*the more the Apdex-S the better*). Checked every 20 minutes.

Superb Apdex-S: If Satisfactory Apdex benchmark (99%) passed (*YES is very good*).

Color areas: *Green* is superb and above the highest standards. The *greener*, the better. *Yellow* is good, but below the highest standards. *Orange* is not very good; worse than yellow. *Red* is comparatively the worst.

Here's a table with hosting prices:

Host	1 year	2 years	3 years	Min price	Worthy	My review
MDDHosting	\$5.00/mo	\$4.50/mo	\$4.00/mo	\$4.00/mo	Yes	Read
VeeroTech	\$5.36/mo	\$5.06/mo	\$5.06/mo	\$5.06/mo	Yes	Read
SiteGround	\$11.95/mo	\$11.95/mo	\$11.95/mo	\$3.95/mo	Yes	Read
GreenGeeks	\$9.95/mo	\$9.95/mo	\$9.95/mo	\$3.95/mo	-	-
LunarPages	\$3.95/mo	\$3.95/mo	\$3.95/mo	\$3.95/mo	-	-
HostWinds	\$7.47/mo	\$6.20/mo	\$5.10/mo	\$2.35/mo	-	-
A2Hosting	\$8.99/mo	\$7.99/mo	\$7.99/mo	\$3.92/mo	Yes	Read
HawkHost	\$3.99/mo	\$2.99/mo	-	\$2.24/mo	Yes	Read
GeekStorage	\$7.99/mo	\$7.99/mo	\$7.99/mo	\$3.19/mo	Yes	Read
MochaHost	\$2.48/mo	\$2.48/mo	\$1.95/mo	\$1.95/mo	-	-
StableHost	\$4.45/mo	\$3.95/mo	\$3.50/mo	\$1.75/mo*	Yes	Read
Squidix	\$6.95/mo	\$6.45/mo	\$5.95/mo	\$2.95/mo	Yes	Read
InMotionHosting	\$8.99/mo	\$7.99/mo	-	\$6.39/mo	Under review	-
Eleven2	\$5.06/mo	\$4.46/mo	\$3.57/mo	\$3.57/mo	-	-
GlowHost	\$6.95/mo	\$4.95/mo	-	\$4.95/mo	-	-

1 year: Regular prices (after the first invoice) for 1-year plan.

2 years: Regular prices (after the first invoice) for 2-year plan.

3 years: Regular prices (after the first invoice) for 3-year plan.

Min Price: The minimum price officially available for the first invoice (can be for 1-year, 2-year or 3-year plan).

*[StableHost](#) discount code is given in my [review](#).

Limited-time offers with more discounts are not included in these prices. Check out if there are special offers currently available by visiting the hosting websites.

Worthy: This column contains my recommended hosts. (*Under review* label means that the host performs well or/and has a good potential in winning prizes in the Contest, but I want to have more proved records of its technical and support performance.)

Here's a table with places within pricing categories:

Host	Regular price 1 year	General place	Place (\$0-5/mo)	Place (\$5.01-8/mo)	Place (\$8+/mo)
MDDHosting	\$5.00/mo	1 (Winner!)	1	-	-
VeeroTech	\$5.36/mo	2 (Silver medal)	-	1	-
SiteGround	\$11.95/mo	3 (Bronze medal)	-	-	1
GreenGeeks	\$9.95/mo	4	-	-	2
LunarPages	\$3.95/mo	5	2	-	-
HostWinds	\$7.47/mo	6	-	2	-


Host	Regular price 1 year	General place	Place (\$0-5/mo)	Place (\$5.01-8/mo)	Place (\$8+/mo)
A2Hosting	\$8.99/mo	7	-	-	3
HawkHost	\$3.99/mo	8	3	-	-
GeekStorage	\$7.99/mo	9	-	3	-
MochaHost	\$2.48/mo	10	4	-	-
StableHost	\$4.45/mo	11	5	-	-
Squidix	\$6.95/mo	12	-	4	-
InMotionHosting	\$8.99/mo	13	-	-	4
Eleven2	\$5.06/mo	14	-	5	-
GlowHost	\$6.95/mo	15	-	6	-

Since it's not always correct to compare hosts from different price categories together, I've broken down the monitored hosts into three categories to compete within as you can see above in the table.

The prices presented in this table are regular prices (i.e. applied *after* the first invoice). Note that prices for the first invoice (1-,2- or 3-year plan) are usually less (see them in the previous table). And special promo prices are not included (check them out on the hosting websites).

And here are the charts with the Hosting Performance Contest results in July 2019:

Average Full Page Load Time, seconds (the less the better). Checked every 20 minutes.




1. The *golden* medalist: [MDDHosting](#) (it's in my [list](#) of the recommended hosts; see [my review](#)).
2. The *silver* medalist: [VeeroTech](#) (it's in my [list](#) of the recommended hosts; see [my review](#)).
3. The *bronze* medalist: [SiteGround](#) (it's in my [list](#) of the recommended hosts; see [my review](#)).


By the way, if you want to make your website faster, I suggest looking at [this long read](#) which contains a lot of practical tips (upper-intermediate level). This is the tutorial prepared by [Kinsta](#), one of the fully managed WordPress hosting which I recommend.

Also, [here](#) you can read the analysis of other fully managed WP hosts which I recommend.

Besides, [here's the collection](#) of my own articles which will help you make your website faster.



Satisfactory Apdex: how often full page load time was less than 2.5 sec, % (the more the better). Checked every 20 minutes.



July 2019 Hosting Performance Contest Results – Notes

I use monitis.com services for uptime and full page load monitoring. The monitoring is performed from two locations in order to decrease chances of false alerts and make monitoring results more objective. You can read more about my methodology of monitoring [here](#).

Here are the monthly monitoring reports screenshots:

Uptime Monitors

Monitoring Location: All

July, 2019

Monitor Name	Type	Uptime(%) ¹	Average Response Time (ms) ²	Times Failed from All Locations(#) ³
ResearchAsaHobby.com tests: Site1 (StableHost)	http	99.08	444.96	402
ResearchAsaHobby.com tests: Site10 (InMotionHosting)	http	99.98	398.11	8
ResearchAsaHobby.com tests: Site11 (SiteGround)	http	100	296.48	0
ResearchAsaHobby.com tests: Site12 (MochaHost)	http	97.09	655.86	1281
ResearchAsaHobby.com tests: Site13 (LunarPages)	http	99.99	456.58	1
ResearchAsaHobby.com tests: Site14 (HostWinds)	http	99.99	544.15	4
ResearchAsaHobby.com tests: Site15 (GreenGeeks)	http	100	176.07	0
ResearchAsaHobby.com tests: Site16 (MDDHosting)	http	99.99	195.26	1
ResearchAsaHobby.com tests: Site2 (HawkHost)	http	100	202.82	0
ResearchAsaHobby.com tests: Site3 (VeeroTech)	http	99.99	224.43	1
ResearchAsaHobby.com tests: Site4 (GlowHost)	http	99.92	1844.37	33
ResearchAsaHobby.com tests: Site6 (Eleven2)	http	99.9	863.03	42
ResearchAsaHobby.com tests: Site7 (GeekStorage)	http	100	414.33	0
ResearchAsaHobby.com tests: Site8 (Squidix)	http	99.86	610.37	58
ResearchAsaHobby.com tests: Site9 (A2Hosting)	http	99.53	319.47	205

¹ Uptime (%) - If at least one location has returned OK, the All Locations result for the check is assigned OK status. Uptime (%) for a given time interval is calculated as the number of OKs divided over the number of checks.

² Average Response Time (ms) - For each check that has returned OK as the All Locations result, the smallest response time among all locations is determined. Average Response Time for a given time interval is calculated as average of the smallest response times across checks.

³ Times Failed from All Locations (#) - For a given interval the number of times failure was returned by all locations during the check.

These monitor checks are performed with 1-minute interval, which makes it great for detecting website uptime and downtime.

So, we need **Uptime** values from this table for finding the winner of our Contest. By the way, downtime is detected if *server response time (time-to-first-byte)* is more than 10 seconds.

Other values (just for information):

- **Response time** is not that important for this Contest, because I've got a better indicator monitored, which is Full page load time (see below).
- **Number of failures** may be interesting to look at, but this is just an additional

information to Uptime, which is more important for the overall hosting evaluation.

Just four hosts on the screenshot have not passed the *uptime* benchmark test (99.9%) for the greatest hosting. The other hosts I'm monitoring were up more than **99.9%** in July. The websites on the uptime-failed hosting were not available for more than 44.6 minutes in total this month.

Full Page Load Monitors

Monitoring Location: All

July, 2019

Monitor Name	Avg Test Duration(sec)	Uptime(%)	Failures(#)	Apdex - (S/T/F)
ResearchAsaHobby.com tests: Site1 (StableHost)	1.64	99.34	14	0.99 - (98.83/0.51/0.65)
ResearchAsaHobby.com tests: Site2 (HawkHost)	1.26	100	0	0.99 - (98.97/1.02/0)
ResearchAsaHobby.com tests: Site3 (VeeroTech)	1.12	100	0	1 - (100/0/0)
ResearchAsaHobby.com tests: Site4 (GlowHost)	3.58	100	0	0.49 - (0/99.95/0.04)
ResearchAsaHobby.com tests: Site6 (Eleven2)	2.21	99.9	2	0.95 - (91.83/7.84/0.32)
ResearchAsaHobby.com tests: Site7 (GeekStorage)	1.36	100	0	0.99 - (98.78/1.21/0)
ResearchAsaHobby.com tests: Site8 (Squidix)	1.69	99.81	4	0.97 - (96.49/2.8/0.7)
ResearchAsaHobby.com tests: Site9 (A2Hosting)	1.24	99.34	14	0.99 - (99.67/0.23/0.09)
ResearchAsaHobby.com tests: Site10 (InMotionHosting)	1.73	99.95	1	0.98 - (96.17/3.78/0.04)
ResearchAsaHobby.com tests: Site11 (SiteGround)	1.15	100	0	0.99 - (99.9/0.09/0)
ResearchAsaHobby.com tests: Site12 (MochaHost)	1.57	97.1	62	0.97 - (96.54/1.16/2.28)
ResearchAsaHobby.com tests: Site13 (LunarPages)	1.55	100	0	0.99 - (99.81/0.18/0)
ResearchAsaHobby.com tests: Site14 (HostWinds)	1.63	100	0	0.99 - (99.01/0.98/0)
ResearchAsaHobby.com tests: Site15 (GreenGeeks)	1.55	100	0	0.99 - (99.15/0.84/0)
ResearchAsaHobby.com tests: Site16 (MDDHosting)	1.11	100	0	1 - (100/0/0)

These tests are performed with 20-minute interval from two locations. It makes it a perfect real-user performance monitor. This monitor's activity is equal to 144 unique visitors per day (about 4,320 unique visitors per month).

Avg Test Duration is the **Full page load time**, which is very clear and important factor for the Hosting Performance Contest.

We don't need *Uptime* from this table because we have got a better (more precise) *Uptime* indicator from the previous table.

Also, we don't need *Failures* from this table, because it's less precise than the same indicator from the previous table.

But we will need *Apdex*, particularly its *S (Satisfactory)* part. This shows how much time (in %) the website loaded *faster than 2.5 seconds*.

Conclusion

The best hosts which have demonstrated the best performance in June 2019 are the medalists: [MDDHosting](#), [VeeroTech](#) and [SiteGround](#).

By the way, all the top winners have passed the strict benchmarks determined for great hosting!

As regards the winners in different pricing categories, here they are:
The best hosts in the higher pricing category (\$8+/mo) in this month go in the following order: [SiteGround](#), [GreenGeeks](#) and [A2Hosting](#).

The best three hosts in the middle pricing category (\$5.01-8/mo) in this month are [VeeroTech](#) and [HostWinds](#) and [GeekStorage](#).

The best three hosts in the most affordable pricing category (up to \$5/mo) in this month are [MDDHosting](#), [LunarPages](#) and [HawkHost](#).

Please note, that I'm using the cheapest plans available on each of the monitored hosts.

It's noticeable as usual that some of the less expensive hosts outperformed hosts from more expensive categories.

And the **hero** of the Contest this month is [MDDHosting](#). This is the hosting which was the best performing for the smallest price!

P.S.: My recommended hosts are [here](#).

You can see **real-time performance charts** of the hosts I monitor as well as **historical data** on the hosting performance on [this page](#).

Past and future **Hosting Performance Contest** results are (and will be) published on [this page](#).

Other monthly roundups of this Hosting Performance Contest are available [here](#).

ORIGINAL BLOG POST URL: [HTTPS://RESEARCHASAHOBBY.COM/HOSTING-PERFORMANCE-CONTEST-JULY-2019-ROUNDUP/](https://researchasahobby.com/hosting-performance-contest-july-2019-roundup/)



I hope you enjoyed the article!

You can read my free researches on resources and tools for bloggers and small business owners on this website. By the way, if we haven't met before - my name is Michael Bely.

If you have any questions, visit my website and ask any questions in the comments or privately via the Contact Form. Don't be shy!

Do you know that...

[More expensive hosts do NOT always mean better hosts?](#)

My Best Materials:

- [As full as possible list of EIG companies and brands with details \(beware EIG hosting!\)](#)
- [Non-stop hosting monitoring reports](#)
- [One best security plugin or combination of plugins?](#)
- [Protect your website from hacking step-by-step - easy, free and very effective](#)
- [How to migrate WordPress website to HTTPS the right way for free](#)
- [How to copy, clone, migrate big WordPress site easily and for free](#)
- [The best email opt-in plugin I could find for my use](#)
- [Other useful articles...](#)